

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/647,419	08/25/2003	Yoshihiro Yazawa	1374-DIV-01	2814
3581)	7590 04/29/2005		EXAM	INER
IP GROUP OF DLA PIPER RUDNICK GRAY CARY US LLP			YEE, DEBORAH	
1650 MARK SUITE 4900			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	PHIA, PA 19103		1742	

DATE MAILED: 04/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Wym

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/647,419	YOSHIHIRO YAZAWA ET AL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Deborah Yee	1742			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) <u>5-8</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>5-8</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 26 August 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 10/047,900. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8-26-03</u>. 	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Pate 6) Other:	te			

Application/Control Number: 10/647,419

Art Unit: 1742

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 5 to 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over European patent 1113084 or Takahashi (US Patent 6,645,318) in view of Omosako et al (US Patent 5,677,268) or Moyle et al (US Patent 5,859,095).
- 3. EP'084 specific example E in Table 1 on page 9 and Takahashi steels A,D and J in Table 1 of columns 7 and 8 meets the claimed composition and when calculated, satisfy the claimed equations 1 and 2. Moreover, EP'084 in Table 4 on page 15 and Takahashi in Table 2 of columns 7 and 8 discloses R values of at least 2. Even though a JIS G 0552 of at least about 6 and an X-ray integral intensity ratio (222)/(200) at a plane parallel to the sheet surface is not less than about 15 as recited by one or more of the claims is no taught by prior art, such would be expected since composition and property limitations are met and in absence of proof to the contrary.
- 4. Even though prior art does not teach a ferritic stainless steel sheet bake-coated with a lubricant comprising acrylic resin, calcium stearate and polyethylene wax as recited by the claims, such would not be a patentable difference. It is well known in the art and common practice to add a lubricant to steel surface in order to improve the material workability and press forming by reducing the coefficient of friction and also to

Art Unit: 1742

protect steel surface from corrosion as evident by Moyle et al and Omosako et al. Hence it would be an obvious modification well within the skill of the artisan to incorporate lubricant to the primary reference steels since improved workability and corrosion resistance are desired and sought.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deborah Yee whose telephone number is 571-272-1253. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:00 to 2:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 571-272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Primary Examiner Art Unit 1742